

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/975,457	10/11/2001	Gordon T. Brown	47781-7	9832
Alan G. Town	7590 08/14/2008 er	EXAMINER		
Pietragallo, Bosick & Gordon			RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM	
One Oxford C 301 Grant Stre		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA	15219	3689		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/14/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/975,457	BROWN, GORDON T.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dennis Ruhl	3689	

	Delliis Ruili	3009	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>\(\text{\tin}\text{\texit{\text{\texitex{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texitex{\text{\tex{\texitexi{\text{\texit{\text{\texit{\text{\texit{\texitintert{\t</li></ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date     The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i	in the final rejection, which	chever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the proposed for the proposed	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ea.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Dennis Ruhl/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3689	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is not persuasive. The argument that the terminals of Lawlor cannot satisfy the claimed "financial transaction computer" is not persuasive. The terminals of Lawlor satisfy what is claimed. With respect to the financial accounting computer, the computer of Lawlor satisfies what is claimed. The term "accounting" adds nothing further to the term "computer". With respect to the argument about interactive access, there is interactive in Lawlor via the remote terminals. This satisfies what is claimed. The argument about the accounting computer and the transaction pumpter being separate elements and the examiner cannot say that the interactive access is via the terminals, the claim language does not exclude this scope or interpretation. Interactive access is satisfied by Lawlor. The raguments are not persuasive.